

DBIA MAR Owners Forum - October 26, 2010

Recurring Theme:

Project Delivery System Decision

Owner's Role in DB

Request for Proposals/Bridging Documents

Pre-Qualification of Firms

Feedback

Purpose

pricing challenges
 establishing scopes
 importance of partnering
 owner participation
 contributing factors of success
 Identify level of engagement for owner
 clarity of program/goals/business objectives
 Understanding of owner profile and culture
 Funding constraints/timing of spend
 Procurement decisions
 Schedule requirements may demand delivery speed: DB fastest approach to obligate construction funds
 May require O/A/C discussion to set path forward
 May limit competition: is this appropriate for owner
 Are there state/local government constraints
 What about Adapt-Build?
 Rather level of the field by clearly stating requirements for submission
 Purpose: allow teams to recover some of cost to compete; not the entire cost. Also to increase competition
 Use of Stipends
 Should these be used?
 Does the use of stipends lead to more innovative ideas?
 Role in coordinating amongst the two
 Find the appropriate balance, push for understanding of the roles
 Manage the change out of end users to not impact project
 Self-Education - be well informed, assess internal staff needs/capability/procedures: augment as required
 Distinction btw Owner/End User
 Establish and communicate the project mission/vision
 Encourage success - establish a collaborative project culture; understand the perspective of DB team members
 Jointly develop roadmap for project linked to schedule - key decision points, design cross-roads, points of no return
 Where appropriate - consider Over the Shoulder process of review and feedback
 Decision making process
 Establish design approval and compliance process
 Decision making and review approach, transparent and recorded
 Establish process for accepting betterments?
 Use a well-written contract
 Commercial Terms
 Request proof of teaming agreements from DB team during RFP stage
 Decision linking back to procurement decisions - 1 vs 2 step, proper stage of design for RFP, etc.

Use of developer led DB?
 timing good w/ strategic planning for region of DBIA
 Plus
 use of all members in the discussion
 mix of product types by owner organizations (e.g. horizontal and vertical)
 Delta
 summarize concept and streamline ideas generated
 use this session to help set agenda forward

General

Quality Firms

Quality People

Value of asking for corp financial information

Value of naming key trade partners

Find appropriate level of information to best evaluate competition
 Use of interviews at the phase one stage to help the shortlist process
 Ideas - witness shortlisted firms in a "mock" working session; how do they work together
 May be more appropriate for vertical work
 How are PPOs really used?
 Are these wearing out our owners and references?
 Could we simply ask for references for phone conversations?
 May be more appropriate for flat-work
 Provide resume detail
 Does the firm have the proper qualifications of people to execute the work
 bond-ability
 Does this allow for differentiation of teams?
 Does this limit cost competition?

How is bridging defined?

How?

Transfer of info to RFP

Reason for using approach - outside constraints and requirements for funding approval
 Document minimum/basic program information: correlation w/ risk and assumptions made by RFP teams
 Level of design: dependent on product type: less than 30% design complete
 Transfer of full design (0% design complete) requires level of trust in the project team
 Consider use of third party to assist owner w/ matching DB solutions and ideas w/ bridging and RFP requirements
 How do we engage the proper professionals to document bridging design?
 Where do we reach for innovation?
 Distinguish to RFP teams where innovation is allowed.
 Use performance specifications. Avoid conflicts of information when using combination of performance and prescriptive.
 Provide data/background (vision) information to DB firms during procurement
 Transfer responsibility to RFP teams to vet the bridging information via due diligence
 Take opportunity to explain assumptions inherent w/ Bridging design to RFP firms. Use presentations by AE1, work-sessions w/ user groups, formal question period
 Establish scope validation period: surface conflicts and scope issues w/ RFP teams.
 Based on bridging package, establish the appropriate duration of response from RFP teams